arXiv:1408.5675v1 [hep-th] 25 Aug 2014

Finite Temperature Effect on Wilson Loop Mechanism

Kiyoshi Shiraishi
Department of Physics, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo 158

Prog. Theor. Phys. Vol. **78** No. 3 (1987) pp. 535–539, (Progress Letters), and Vol. **81** No. 1 (1989) pp. 248–248 (Erratum)

Abstract

We evaluate the energy splitting of vacua appearing in the gauge theory in the space $M_4 \times S^N/Z_2$ ($N = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ and 7). One-loop quantum effects which come from scalar and gauge fields are considered. We calculate them at zero temperature as well as in high-temperature limit. We find that in these situations there is no breakdown of the gauge symmetry.

One of the interesting features of the heterotic string theory [1] has been based on the existence of gauge symmetries which can lead to conceivable phenomenology at low energy.[2, 3] The mechanism to break the symmetry is provided by the compactification,[2, 4] which is another feature of the theory formulated in higher dimensions. If the extra space is given by a *manifold* (not an orbifold [5]), the symmetry breaking is accountable in the region of field theory, or low-energy effective theory of strings.

A few years ago Hosotani [6] has shown the symmetry breaking mechanism in the space-time $M_3 \times S^1$, where M_3 denotes a three-dimensional flat space-time and S^1 is a circle. In his model, non-zero expectation value of the gauge field on S^1 affects the mass spectra in M_3. At the same time those gauge fields leave the field strengths to be zero. He considered one-loop quantum effects of gauge and matter fields in order to find the correct vacuum because the energy of each vacuum is degenerate at tree level.

Generally, similar symmetry breaking mechanism is to work when the extra space is expressed by a multiply connected manifold, which is often considered in the string theories.[2, 3] On such manifolds, vacuum gauge fields $\langle A_m^a \rangle$, which satisfy field strengths $\langle F_{mn}^a \rangle = 0$, can be non-zero. To clarify the issues, we may introduce Wilson loops associated with the gauge fields:

$$U = P \exp\left(-i \int_\gamma \langle \mathbf{A}_m \rangle \, d\mathbf{x}^m \right) , \tag{1}$$

where γ is the closed path on the non-simply connected space and P indicates that the products are taken as path-ordered. Non-trivial Wilson line elements

$U \neq 1$, which correspond to the non-contractible loops on the manifold, may break the gauge symmetry. This is just the way to break the large symmetry, which is expected to be crucial in string theories.

In general cases, the vacua associated with various Wilson elements are degenerate at tree level. Evans and Ovrut [7] took the one-loop quantum effect into consideration to break the degeneracy of the vacuum energy. They explained a way to calculate the vacuum energy in Ref. [7] but did not show numerical results of the values.

On the other hand, we take an interest in the nature of this symmetry-breaking mechanism in the cosmological context. It is widely believed that the thermal effect gives rise to the symmetry restoration or breaking in very early universe.[8] For instance, usual Higgs mechanisms are influenced by the finite-temperature effect and there occur transitions between states which have different symmetries in general.[9] If the transition occurs also in the Wilson loop mechanism, it may play an important role in the evolution of the universe.

To investigate which symmetry realizes, we calculate the energy splitting between the vacua. At the one-loop level finite temperature effect is incorporated through the imaginary time formalism.[9] The high temperature and density effects on the Hosotani model which has the compact space S^1 are investigated by the present author.[10] It has been concluded that the character of symmetry is unchanged when temperature grows while it drastically changes as the density of fermions grows.

In this paper we show numerical results of the energy gap between vacua to the one-loop level on the compact manifold S^N/Z_2 at zero temperature as well as in high temperature limit. Here S^N/Z_2 is a quotient space constructed by identification of antipodal points on S^N.[7, 11]

The harmonics on S^N/Z_2 are the same as those on S^N, but only the modes which have "reflection symmetry" on S^N are permitted.[7, 11] For bosonic fields, the allowed harmonic functions can be found from construction of harmonics on S^N in Ref. [12].

Next we take the vacuum gauge field into account. If a gauge field (of a certain symmetry) takes a nontrivial configuration, we can only prepare the harmonics which change their sign under reflection defined on S^3.[7] For example, consider a scalar field on S^N/Z_2. On S^N, the harmonics for a scalar function are labeled by integer l; the eigenvalue of Laplacian is given by $-l(l+N-1)$. In the trivial case, $\mathbf{A}_m = 0$ on S^N/Z_2, the allowed modes are those defined on S^N which is labeled by even l. When non-trivial configuration of gauge fields exists on S^N/Z_2, odd-l modes defined on S^N appear in some components of gauge multiplet.

Let us introduce the following quantity:

$$\Delta F(\text{scalar}) = F(\text{even}) - F(\text{odd})\,,$$

where we consider the difference of energy (density) for a single massless bosonic degree of freedom. F(even) and F(odd) are the vacuum free energy obtained from the one-loop calculation adopting even-l and odd-l modes on S^N, respectively. The difference of the energies of trivial and non-trivial gauge field vacua

is calculated to be ΔF multiplied by the number of components which have the spectra of odd-l modes. Thus it is necessary that ΔF is positive for obtaining the non-trivial gauge vaccum with lower free energy, although details about breaking patterns are dependent on the gauge group.

The approach to evaluate ΔF at one-loop level is closely related to the work of Candelas and Weinberg.[11] For a scalar field in the space-time $M_4 \times S^N/Z_2$ with odd N, ΔF takes the following form at zero temperature:

$$\Delta F = -\frac{1}{2(4\pi)^{n/2}}\Gamma\left(-\frac{n}{2}\right)\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(-1)^l\frac{\Gamma(l+N-1)}{l!\Gamma(N)}(2l+N-1)\cdot[l(l+N-1)]^{n/2}\,, \tag{2}$$

where n is to be set to four. Note that in this expression $(-1)^l$ indicates the difference between contributions from even and odd modes. The calculation of ΔF is performed by a similar way to Candelas and Weinberg's. Here we become aware that a straightforward calculation provides a definite value of ΔF even for even N.

As is well known, for even dimensionality the expression of the vacuum energy contains a logarithmic term with regularization mass scale at the one-loop level.[14] Especially for high temperature case, the logarithmic term may cause some troubles. In this note, we believe that ΔF is the expression (2) gives the general qualitative picture even for even N.

At finite temperature $T = \beta^{-1}$, ΔF is written as follows:

$$\Delta F = -\frac{1}{2(4\pi)^{d/2}\beta}\Gamma\left(-\frac{d}{2}\right)\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(-1)^l d_l(N)\left[l(l+N-1)+\left(\frac{2\pi}{\beta}n\right)^2\right]^{d/2}\,, \tag{3}$$

where $d_l(N) = l(l+N-1)(2l+N-1)!/l!\Gamma(N)$ and d is space dimensionality to be set to three. As mentioned above, we may regard (3) as the definition of ΔF which stands even for even N.

To illustrate the effect of temperature, we carry out the numerical calculation in high temperature limit. In the limit of infinite temperature, the expression of ΔF becomes simply as

$$\Delta F/T = -\frac{1}{2(4\pi)^{d/2}}\Gamma\left(-\frac{d}{2}\right)\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(-1)^l d_l(N)\left[l(l+N-1)\right]^{d/2}\,, \tag{4}$$

Note that at high temperature ΔF is proportional to T; this dependence on temperature cannot be found by the usual method known as high-temperature expansion,[15] because the leading terms obtained by the method are presented in terms of the total dimensionality and curvatures.

In Tables 1 and 2 numerical results are given for zero-temperature and high-temperature limit respectively. The corresponding results for *transverse* vector fields are shown in Tables 3 and 4. The full contribution of vector fields (which contains the contribution of ghosts, scalars on S^N/Z_2) are obtained by $\Delta F(\text{transverse}) + 3\Delta F(\text{scalar})$ in the case with $M_4 \times S^N/Z_2$.

Table 1: The free energy splitting ΔF at zero temperature obtained from the one-loop calculation of a scalar field on S^N/Z_2, $N = 2 - 7$.

N	ΔF
2	-3.243×10^{-3}
3	-5.116×10^{-3}
4	-7.173×10^{-3}
5	-9.404×10^{-3}
6	-1.180×10^{-2}
7	-1.435×10^{-2}

Table 2: $\Delta F/T$ in high temperature limit for a scalar field on S^N/Z_2, $N = 2-7$.

N	$\Delta F/T$
2	-1.18×10^{-2}
3	-1.68×10^{-2}
4	-2.19×10^{-2}
5	-2.69×10^{-2}
6	-3.21×10^{-2}
7	-3.73×10^{-2}

It turns out that there is not case that non-zero gauge vacua have lower energy than the ordinary vacuum for $N = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ and 7 at zero and infinite temperature, as far as we consider scalar and vector fields only. Judging from the tendency of the decrease of ΔF with N, there is no gauge symmetry breakdown for arbitrary dimensionality N.

It seems that the temperature effect does not alter the determination of the true gauge vaccum. We have checked the energy splitting at arbitrary temperature for the space-time $M_4 \times S^3/Z_2$; in this case, ΔF decreases smoothly with temperature and its sign is unchanged.

In summary, for the space-time geometry $M_4 \times S^N/Z_2$ $(N = 2, \ldots, 7)$ we found no symmetry breaking caused by radiative corrections which come from scalar and vector fields at zero temperature and in high temperature limit. It Iooks plausible that phase transitions do not take place by temperature effect in general Wilson loop mechanism. This statement is supported by the fact that the mechanism has no mass scale except for the scale of the compact manifold.

If we desire the model with symmetry breaking, we must consider more complicated manifold such as S^3/Z_3, and femionic fields.[7]

In the above analysis we relied on the one-loop calculation of the vaccum energy for a field theory. Recently compactified string theories are studied by

Table 3: The free energy splitting ΔF at zero temperature obtained from the one-loop calculation of a transverse vector field on S^N/Z_2, $N = 2 - 7$.

N	ΔF
2	3.243×10^{-3}
3	6.312×10^{-3}
4	9.588×10^{-3}
5	1.309×10^{-2}
6	1.680×10^{-2}
7	2.073×10^{-2}

Table 4: $\Delta F/T$ in high temperature limit for a transverse vector field on S^N/Z_2, $N = 2 - 7$.

N	$\Delta F/T$
2	1.18×10^{-2}
3	1.99×10^{-2}
4	2.75×10^{-2}
5	3.49×10^{-2}
6	4.23×10^{-2}
7	4.97×10^{-2}

many authors.[16, 17, 18] Narain [16] showed that the torus compactifications can bring about various gauge groups. This mechanism is due to the non-zero gauge fields on tori.[19] The orbifold compactification [5, 17] which breaks the symmetry is also well investigated because it is simple but reflects proper string nature. We can ask whether the gauge vacuum with the lowest free energy can change at finite temperature in these models. This subject may be very important for constructing "superstring cosmology" scenario.[20]

The author would like to thank T. Hori for reading this manuscript. He would also like to thank Iwanami Fūjukai for the financial support.

The author would like to thank J. S. Dowker and S. P. Jadhav for pointing out the error in the first version of this paper.

References

[1] D. Gross, J. Harvey, E. Martinec and R. Rohm, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54** (1985) 502; Nucl. Phys. **B256** (1985) 253; ibid. **B267** (1985) 75.

[2] P. Candelas, G. Horowitz, A. Strominger and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B256** (1985) 46.

[3] J. Ellis, K. Enqvist, D. V. Nanopoulos and F. Zwirner, Nucl. Phys. **B276** (1986) 14, and references therein.

[4] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B258** (1985) 75.

J. Breit, B. Ovrut and G. Segrè, Phys. Lett. **B158** (1985) 33.

A. Sen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55** (1985) 33.

[5] L. Dixon, J. Harvey, C. Vafa and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B264** (1985) 678; ibid. **B274** (1986) 285.

[6] Y. Hosotani, Phys. Lett. **126B** (1983) 309.

[7] M. Evans and B. A. Ovrut, Phys. Lett. **174B** (1986) 63.

B. A. Ovrut, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **86** (1986) 185.

[8] For example, see Nucl. Phys. **B252** (1985) Nos. 1 & 2.

[9] D. A. Kirzhnits and A. D. Linde, Phys. Lett. **B42** (1972) 471.

L. Dolan and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. **D9** (1974) 3320.

S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. **D9** (1974) 3357.

A. D. Linde, Rep. Prog. Phys. **42** (1979) 389.

[10] K. Shiraishi, Z. Phys. **C35** (1987) 37.

[11] F. Ardalan and H. Arfaei, J. Math. Phys. **28** (1987) 685.

[12] A. Chodos and E. Myers, Ann. of Phys. **156** (1984) 412.

[13] P. Chandelas and S. Weinberg, Nucl. Phys. **B237** (1984) 412.

[14] E. Myers, Phys. Rev. **D33** (1986) 41.

R. Kantowski and K. A. Milton, Phys. Rev. **D35** (1987) 397.

[15] J. S. Dowker, Phys. Rev. **D29** (1984), 2773; Class. Quantum Grav. **1** (1984) 359.

[16] K. S. Narain, Phys. Lett. **169B** (1986) 41.

[17] L. E. Ibanez, H. P. Nilles and F. Quevedo, Phys. Lett. **B187** (1987) 25.

L. E. Ibanez, J. E. Kim, H. P. Nilles and F. Quevedo, Phys. Lett. **B191** (1987), 282.

[18] H. Kawai, D. Lewellen and S.-H. Tye, Phys. Lett. **B191** (1987) 63.

[19] P. Ginsparg, Phys. Rev. **D35** (1987) 648.

[20] M. Bowick and L. Wijewardhana, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54** (1985) 2485.

M. Bowick, L. Smolin and L. Wijewardhana, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56** (1986), 424.

N. Matsuo, Prog. Theor. Phys. **77** (1987) 223, and references cited therein.